



Jay Alan Sekulow, J.D., Ph.D.
Chief Counsel

January 29, 2016

**VIA FACSIMILE [REDACTED] &
OVERNIGHT DELIVERY SERVICE**

LTG James C. McConville
U.S. Army Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1
[REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Call to Correct Injustice to SFC Charles Martland, United States Army

Dear General McConville:

By way of introduction, the American Center for Law and Justice (ACLJ) is a non-profit organization dedicated to defending constitutional liberties here at home and freedom and human rights abroad. ACLJ attorneys have successfully argued numerous free speech and religious freedom cases before the Supreme Court of the United States.¹ We have offices and affiliates in France, Russia, Kenya, Zimbabwe, Pakistan, and Israel; and, our affiliate, the European Centre for Law and Justice is accredited to the United Nations ECOSOC as a Non-Governmental Organization.

* * * * *

In October 2015, we wrote to Secretary of Defense Carter requesting his intervention on behalf of Green Beret SFC Charles Martland who was facing involuntary separation from the United States Army based on a reprimand he had received in 2011 for acting forcefully to protect a young Afghan boy who had been kidnapped and repeatedly raped by an Afghan leader allied with the United States.²

¹See, e.g., *Pleasant Grove City v. Sumnum*, 129 S. Ct. 1125 (2009) (unanimously holding that the Free Speech Clause does not require the government to accept other monuments merely because it has a Ten Commandments monument on its property); *McConnell v. FEC*, 540 U.S. 93 (2003) (unanimously holding that minors enjoy the protection of the First Amendment); *Lamb's Chapel v. Center Moriches Sch. Dist.*, 508 U.S. 384 (1993) (unanimously holding that denying a church access to public school premises to show a film series on parenting violated the First Amendment); *Bd. of Educ. v. Mergens*, 496 U.S. 226 (1990) (holding by an 8-1 vote that allowing a student Bible club to meet on a public school's campus did not violate the Establishment Clause); *Bd. of Airport Comm'rs v. Jews for Jesus*, 482 U.S. 569 (1987) (unanimously striking down a public airport's ban on First Amendment activities).

²Kyle Jahner, *Green Beret: Striking Corrupt Afghan got me Kicked Out*, ARMY TIMES (Aug. 21, 2015), <http://www.armytimes.com/story/military/careers/army/enlisted/2015/08/21/green-beret-striking-corrupt-afghan-got-me-kicked-out/32080843/>.
[REDACTED]

It has recently been reported that SFC Martland's QMP appeal has been denied and that he is about to be involuntarily separated from the Army.³ Given the circumstances of what occurred, we believe that SFC Martland acted both honorably and with absolute integrity in putting a stop to the ongoing sexual abuse of the young Afghan boy and that separating SFC Martland from the Army for doing what was right would be an absolute travesty of justice.

General McConville, you have the authority to right the wrong inflicted on SFC Martland. He acted with honor and integrity. As we called on Secretary Carter to do in October 2015, we now call on you to do what you can to correct this grave injustice.

We are writing to you today on behalf of ourselves and more than 186,597 Americans who have joined with the ACLJ to urge in the strongest terms possible that Army officials do the right thing for SFC Martland, our soldiers around the globe, and the defenseless children victimized by corrupt Afghan Local Police commanders.

We urge you to disapprove the recommendation of the QMP Board to separate SFC Martland and to order instead his immediate reinstatement to duty; to issue a formal, written apology to him for the inappropriate action taken against him at the time he acted to halt the repeated rape of the young Afghan boy; and to order that SFC Martland's military records be purged of all negative documents and/or other entries or enclosures of whatever kind related to his actions surrounding the incident in Afghanistan.

UNDERLYING FACTS

In September 2011, SFC Martland and his superior officer, CPT Daniel Quinn, took decisive and forceful action to stop unconscionable sexual assaults perpetrated against a young Afghan boy. The perpetrator of those crimes was a local Afghan police commander who worked alongside U.S. troops every day.

SFC Martland and CPT Quinn had received reports that a local Afghan police commander had kidnapped a 12-year-old boy, tied the boy to his bed, and repeatedly raped him over the course of approximately ten days.⁴ The soldiers had learned of these despicable acts when the boy and his mother had sought help at the U.S. base.⁵ The boy showed U.S. soldiers scars on his arms from being tied to his bed.⁶ A U.S. medic, with the aid of an interpreter, examined the boy and verified that abuse had occurred. CPT Quinn also verified the truth of the story with other local Afghan leaders.⁷ For seeking

³Michelle Tan, *Congressman, Citing Green Beret's Plight, Adds to Army Secretary Controversy*, ARMY TIMES (Jan. 27, 2016), <http://www.armytimes.com/story/military/capitol-hill/2016/01/27/congressman-citing-green-berets-plight-adds-secarm-controversy/79428122/>.

⁴*Id.*

⁵*Id.*

⁶Lucas Tomlison, *Army Kicking Out Decorated Green Beret who Stood up for Afghan Rape Victim*, FOX NEWS (Aug. 21, 2015), <http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2015/08/21/army-kicking-out-decorated-green-beret-who-stood-up-for-afghan-rape-victim/?intcmp=hpbt3>.

⁷*Id.*

U.S. help, the Afghan commander who had repeatedly raped the boy had the boy's mother beaten.⁸

As a result of such ongoing criminal conduct by Afghan forces allied with the U.S., SFC Martland noted, "we were quickly losing the support of the local populace."⁹ SFC Martland reported that "the severity of the rapes and the lack of action by the Afghan Government caused many of the locals to view our [local Afghan police allies] as worse than the Taliban."¹⁰ *That was the situational context within which SFC Martland and CPT Quinn took action.*

The Afghan leader who had been identified as having kidnapped and raped the young boy was invited to the base and questioned.¹¹ It is undisputed that the man admitted the abuse. When confronted about the rapes, he laughed it off and said, "It is only a boy."¹² SFC Martland and CPT Quinn took immediate and forceful action to send an unmistakable message: sexual abuse of children would not be tolerated around U.S. forces.

Subsequent actions by SFC Martland's chain of command have proven to be absolutely inexplicable—and disgusting. Rather than supporting and praising CPT Quinn and SFC Martland for halting the ongoing rape of an innocent young Afghan boy, the two soldiers were themselves relieved and reprimanded.

Hence, rather than send Afghan sexual predators a message that sexual abuse of children would not be tolerated around U.S. forces, actions by senior U.S. military leaders in response to what CPT Quinn and SFC Martland did sent the exact opposite message—to our allies, our troops, our enemies, and the world. Rather than punish known, notorious, sexual predators, by its actions, the United States was instead demonstrating its willingness to condone the most heinous of criminal acts against defenseless children.

Consider what SFC Martland's relief and reprimand conveyed to local villagers like the abused boy and his mother when the U.S. soldiers who stood up to defend them against an admitted, serial, child rapist were themselves reprimanded and relieved of duty for doing so. And, perhaps worst of all, consider the message conveyed to the local Afghan commanders who were openly engaging in such abusive conduct.

The Army's action against SFC Martland has, in reality, empowered such rapists to continue committing despicable acts with defenseless children. *The Army's response constituted a devastating blow in the battle to win the hearts and minds of everyday Afghans.* The widespread abuse of women and children at the hands of local Afghan

⁸*Id.*

⁹Kyle Jahner, 'One of the Best': Defenders Show Support for Ousted Green Beret, ARMY TIMES (Sept. 30, 2015), <http://www.armytimes.com/story/military/2015/09/30/defenders-mount-support-ousted-green-beret-charles-martland/72996486/>.

¹⁰*Id.*

¹¹Jake Tapper & Kim Berryman, *Green Beret Discharged for Beating Alleged Child Rapist Speaks Out*, CNN (Sept. 28, 2015), <http://www.cnn.com/2015/09/28/politics/green-beret-discharged-for-beating-alleged-child-rapist-speaks-out/>.

¹²*Id.*

police forces allied with the United States will sadly continue, but now with apparent U.S.-sanctioned impunity.

Once again, the ACLJ and our members do not dispute that U.S. forces must be sensitive to and respectful of the cultures and traditions of the people in countries where U.S. forces are stationed. Of course, our military should respect, where possible, traditions and cultural norms pertaining to religion, dress, language, and the like. Yet, the open and notorious sexual abuse of children is not an acceptable custom in any culture and is unworthy of any semblance of respect by the United States and its Armed Forces. ***A policy of deference to local customs and traditions is one thing. A policy of tolerating and facilitating the sexual slavery and abuse of defenseless children is quite another!***

Historically, protecting children from harm has endeared foreigners to American soldiers. Tolerating the open abuse of children is unacceptable. SFC Martland acted honorably and did not deserve to be reprimanded and relieved for his actions. ***General McConville, we respectfully urge you to do everything in your power to correct the injustice visited upon SFC Martland and allow him to continue serving in the Army!***

UNITED STATES MILITARY POLICY CONCERNING SEXUAL ABUSE OF CHILDREN

The reprimand and the relief of SFC Martland for acting to protect a helpless child rape-victim are clear manifestations of a deeper problem within the military chain of command: an ignoble and wholly unconscionable policy requiring our military forces to ignore known sexual abuse of children at the hands of our local Afghan police “allies.” A recent report in the New York Times raised allegations that U.S. ground troops were being ordered to overlook child rape. In fact, the report indicated that U.S. commanders were even allowing local Afghan militia leaders residing on U.S. bases to bring their harem of children onto U.S. installations to abuse.¹³

The Times report told the story of Lance Corporal (LCpl) Gregory Buckley Jr., USMC, since killed in action. The young Marine had told his father that, from his bunk, he could hear Afghan police officers sexually abusing boys they had brought to the base: “At night we can hear them screaming, but we’re not allowed to do anything about it.”¹⁴ This is morally and legally outrageous and must stop! The United States simply cannot justify in any way, shape or form such perversion aimed at defenseless children with the pious claim that we must do so to accommodate “local customs and traditions.”

¹³Joseph Goldstein, *U.S. Soldiers Told to Ignore Sexual Abuse of Boys by Afghan Allies*, N.Y. TIMES (Sept. 20, 2015), http://www.nytimes.com/2015/09/21/world/asia/us-soldiers-told-to-ignore-afghan-allies-abuse-of-boys.html?_r=3.

¹⁴*Id.*

SEXUAL ABUSE OF CHILDREN IS UNIVERSALLY RECOGNIZED AS A CRIME

No law requires that American soldiers look the other way from sexual crimes committed on U.S. installations or in their presence. It appears from the reports on SFC Martland's case that there was a policy promulgated somewhere within DOD that required American service members to refrain from taking action that any honorable person possessing knowledge of open child enslavement and sexual abuse would take. *Since an express prohibition on defending minor children from child predators would doubtless constitute an unlawful order, no soldier would be required to obey it. In fact, soldiers are obligated to disobey unlawful orders.*

The UCMJ specifically criminalizes sexual assault and rape of a child.¹⁵ No member of the U.S. Armed Forces can be ordered to sit idly by and allow a defenseless child to be sexually assaulted. Such attacks are not only morally wrong; they are also a clear violation of the UCMJ. Any order forbidding such aid to defenseless children would be unlawful—it would also be shameful!

The argument that sexual abuse of young boys is simply cultural is also specious. The Afghan Penal Code outlaws all sexual intercourse outside of marriage.¹⁶ Surely, forced sexual intercourse with a minor male child easily falls within that prohibition. Any U.S. commander or policy-maker who failed to understand that basic truth should be disqualified from holding any position of responsibility in DOD or elsewhere.

International law also speaks to this situation. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) requires that “[e]very child shall have . . . the right to such measures of protection as are required by his status as a minor, on the part of his family, society and the State.”¹⁷ Further, both Afghanistan and the United States share a commitment under international law to end slavery in all its forms¹⁸ as well as cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment.¹⁹ According to the United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child, “[n]o violence against children is justifiable. . . .”²⁰ Rape is surely a form of prohibited violence.

* * * * *

¹⁵See, e.g., 10 U.S.C. § 920 (criminalizing rape); 10 U.S.C. § 920b (criminalizing sexual assault and rape of a child); 10 U.S.C. § 925 (criminalizing forcible sodomy); 10 U.S.C. § 928 (criminalizing assault).

¹⁶Article 427 of the Afghan Penal Code criminalizes sexual intercourse outside marriage. According to a United Nations report, “[t]hose who sexually abuse children are currently jailed and sentenced according to this article, which can bring a jail sentence of six to ten years. . . .” United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, Aug. 28, 2009, CRC/C/AFG/1 at 72.

¹⁷International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights art. 24(1), Mar. 23, 1976, 999 U.N.T.S. 171. The United States has ratified and Afghanistan has acceded to this Convention.

¹⁸G.A. Res. 217 (III) A, Universal Declaration of Human Rights art. iv (Dec. 10, 1948).

¹⁹*Id.* at art. v.

²⁰United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, Apr. 18, 2011, CRC/C/GC/13.

General McConville, what happened to SFC Martland in 2011 was a travesty. That travesty is being compounded by the QMP Board's decision to separate a decorated and devoted United States warrior from the Army's ranks because he was wrongly reprimanded for taking decisive and forceful action to stop the repeated rape of a young Afghan boy at the hands of a corrupt Afghan leader.


SFC Martland's brave actions halted ongoing felonies recognized in the UCMJ. His actions halted ongoing criminal actions recognized under Afghan law. His actions halted ongoing felonies condemned by international law. Yet, it was he who turned out to be the one who was punished; he was both relieved and reprimanded. That is unconscionable.

It is not SFC Martland who acted amiss in what he did. It is the person or persons who promulgated and enforced a policy that violated U.S. law, Afghan law, and international law who acted amiss. *Rather than separate SFC Martland for his honorable service, we urge you instead to do all that you can to keep a quality soldier in uniform.* SFC Martland acted honorably throughout. That is more than can be said of those morally obtuse persons in his chain of command who promulgated and enforced a policy that will subject untold numbers of innocent Afghan children to sexual slavery and abuse in violation of U.S., Afghan, and international law.

* * * * *

General McConville, we respectfully call on you to investigate this matter personally, to do the right thing, and to take swift action to correct this miscarriage of justice. You have the authority to stop this travesty today. We respectfully urge you to do so. Brave men and women in uniform, like SFC Martland, CPT Quinn, and many unnamed others, deserve no less than such loyalty and support from the uniformed Army leadership.

Respectfully yours,



Jay Alan Sekulow
Chief Counsel



Robert W. Ash
Senior Counsel