

INITIAL DECISION
SUMMARY DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. EDU 03654-25 AGENCY DKT. NO. 28-1/25

J.K., ON BEHALF OF MINOR CHILD, K.K.,

Petitioner,

٧.

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS, MORRIS COUNTY,

Respondent.

John D. Coyle, Esq., petitioner (Coyle Law Group, attorneys.)

Allison L. Kenny, Esq. for respondent (Schenck, Price, Smith & King, attorneys)

Record Closed: July 2, 2025 Decided: December 8, 2025

BEFORE: AURELIO VINCITORE, ALJ

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner, J.K., father of K.K., lives within 20 miles from her school. Is J.K. entitled to aid in lieu of transportation? Yes. Students who live within 20 miles from a non-public, remote school are entitled to aid in lieu of transportation. N.J.S.A. 18A:39-1.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On August 1, 2024, respondent, Township of Parsippany-Troy Hills Board of Education (Board), sent J.K. a letter informing him that his daughter was being denied aid in lieu of transportation due to living more than 20 miles from home to school. On September 6, 2024, J.K. sent a printout from Google Maps challenging the distance calculation by the Board. The printout states that petitioner lives19.9 miles from home to school.

On October 1, 2024, J.K. sent a survey from a New Jersey licensed surveyor, Brevard Surveying & Mapping, LLC, to the Board.

On November 4, 2024, the Board sent a final decision denying aid in lieu of transportation.

On January 31, 2025, J.K. appealed the Board's decision to Department of Education.

On February 24, 2025 the Department of Education transmitted the appeal to the Office of Administrative Law as a contested matter under the Administrative Procedure Act, N.J.S.A. 52:14B-1 to -15, and the act establishing the OAL, N.J.S.A. 52:14F-1 to -23, for a hearing under the Uniform Administrative Procedure Rules, N.J.A.C. 1:1-1.1 to -21.6.

On March 26, 2025, and April 29, 2025, the parties participated in prehearing teleconferences.

On June 3, 2025, petitioner filed his motion for summary decision. On June 23, 2025, the Board filed its opposition and cross-motion for summary decision. On July 2, 2025, petitioner filed his response.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the documents submitted in support of and in opposition to the motion for summary decision, and when viewed in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, I **FIND** the following as **FACT** for purposes of this motion only:

- 1. Petitioner, J.K., has a daughter named, K.K., and they reside in Parsippany, New Jersey.
- 2. K.K. attends Eastern Christian Upper Elementary & Middle School located at 518 Sicomac Avenue, Wyckoff, NJ 07481.
- 3. K.K. lives more than two miles away from Eastern Christian Upper Elementary & Middle School.
- 4. On August 1, 2024, Tiffany Pizza-Hiltz sent a letter to J.K. stating that aid in lieu of transportation was denied.
- A Google Maps printout to the Board shows a distance of 19.9 miles from home to school.
- 6. A survey by licensed surveyor Brevard Surveying & Mapping, LLC, shows a distance of 19.7 miles. This survey measures the shortest distance utilizing public roadways and walkways the student's home and the nearest public entrance to the school.
- 7. A Google Maps printout using walking distance only shows that the distance between home and school is 21-24.7 miles.
- 8. On November 4, 2024, Board attorney, Katharine Gilfillan sent a letter to J.K. stating the Board's final decision to deny aid in lieu of transportation.
- 9. Tiffany Pizza-Hiltz, respondent's transportation supervisor, certifies that the driving distance between petitioner's home and school is greater than 20 miles.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Summary Decision Standard

A party may move for summary decision upon any or all substantive issues in a contested case. N.J.A.C. 1:1-12.5(a). The motion for summary decision must be served with briefs and may be served with supporting affidavits. Under N.J.A.C. 1:1-12.5(b), "[t]he decision sought may be rendered if the papers and discovery which have been filed, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact challenged and that the moving party is entitled to prevail as a matter of law."

To determine whether a genuine issue of material fact exists that precludes summary judgment, the motion judge must consider whether the competent evidential materials presented, when viewed in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, are sufficient to demonstrate that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. Brill v. Guardian Life Ins., 142 N.J. 520, 540 (1995). To avoid entry of summary judgment, the non-moving party must come forward with legally competent facts essential to proving an element of its cause of action. Ibid. at 536-537. If non-movant fails to do so, the moving party is entitled to summary judgment. Ibid.

Petitioner's Motion for Summary Decision

Students who live within 20 miles from a non-public, remote school are entitled to aid in lieu of transportation. N.J.S.A. 18A:39-1. In this case, J.K. has provided both a Google Maps printout showing a distance from home to Eastern Christian Upper Elementary & Middle School of 19.9 miles. J.K. also provided a survey conducted by licensed surveyor Brevard Surveying & Mapping, LLC, showing a distance of 19.7 miles.

The Board, on the other hand, has provided a Google Maps printout exclusively using walking distance that reflects 21 to 24.7 miles between J.K.'s home and Eastern Christian Upper Elementary & Middle School. The Board also provided a certification from

Transportation Supervisor Tiffany Pizza-Hiltz stating that she attempted to drive the Google Maps route provided by petitioner and that it measured over 20 miles.

The dispute between the parties is over how that distance was measured. Petitioner argues that Route 80 cannot be traversed by foot and that failing to utilize Route 80 lengthens the walk. The Board cites Parsippany-Troy Hills Township's Parent Guide to Transportation as to policy on the exclusive use of Google Maps walking distance.

Fortunately, a regulation, N.J.A.C. 6A:27-1.3(a)(1)(ii), provides guidance about how to calculate such distances: "[D]istance shall be measured using the shortest route along public roadways or public walkways between the entrance of the student's residence nearest the public roadway or public walkway and the nearest public entrance of the school the student attends." Since the distance between petitioner's residence and the nearest public entrance to the school must be measured using the shortest route accessing the nearest public roadways or public walkways under N.J.A.C. 6A:27-1.3(a)(1)(ii), and the licensed surveyor's distance calculation, which uses public roadways and public walkways, is 19.7 miles, that it is the distance from home to school for purposes of transportation in this case. Therefore, I **CONCLUDE** that petitioner is entitled to aid in lieu of transportation under N.J.S.A. 18A:39-1.

Respondent's Cross-Motion for Summary Decision

In their cross-motion for summary decision, the Board claims that J.K's petition is time-barred. The regulation concerning appeals is N.J.A.C. 6A:3-1.3(i). The regulation states that petitioners must file their petitions no later than the 90th date from the date of final action by a board:

Filing and service of petition of appeal - The petitioner shall file a petition no later than the 90th day from the date of receipt of the notice of a final order, ruling, or other action by the district board of education, individual party, or agency, that is the subject of the requested contested case hearing. This rule shall not apply in instances where a specific statute,

regulation, or court order provides for a period of limitation shorter than 90 days for the filing of a particular type of appeal.

The Board argues that the August 1, 2024, letter from Pizza-Hilitz to J.K. constituted the Board's final decision on aid in lieu of transportation for J.K.'s daughter K.K. However, this argument is belied by the language in the November 4, 2024 letter from Board attorney Gilfillan to J.K. in which states, "this matter has been considered by the Superintendent and this decision is the District's final decision..." Therefore, I CONCLUDE that that District's final decision was rendered on November 4, 2024, and that J.K. filed the appeal with the Commissioner of Education on January 31, 2025, which is before the expiration of 90 days.

The Board's citation of an unpublished Appellate Division decision regarding the strict construction of the 90-day rule and a district's adoption of a student uniform policy in <u>Coles v. Bayonne Bd. of Educ.</u>, No. A- 4642-06T1, 2008, N.J. Super. Unpub. is inapplicable and unpersuasive because in that case, the appellants did not offer a reasonable excuse for their delay. In this case, there was no such delay. Thus, the case is inapposite.

The Board's assertion that its method of measurement is entitled to deference is also inapposite. This method of measurement, Google Maps walking distance, contravenes the express language of the controlling New Jersey Administrative Code section. Under N.J.A.C. 6A:27-1.3(a)(1)(ii), "distance shall be measured using the shortest route along public roadways or public walkways between the entrance of the student's residence nearest the public roadway or public walkway and the nearest public entrance of the school the student attends." The Board must consider the shortest route using both public roadways and walkways. That is not a matter left to the discretion of the Board. Since the Board did not consider public roadways, the Board's method of measurement is not entitled to deference.

<u>ORDER</u>

Given my findings of fact and conclusions of law, J.K's motion for summary decision is **GRANTED**, and the Board's cross-motion for summary decision is **DENIED**.

I hereby FILE this initial decision with the COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION for consideration.

This recommended decision may be adopted, modified, or rejected by the **COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**, who by law is authorized to make a final decision in this case. If the Commissioner of the Department of Education does not adopt, modify, or reject this decision within forty-five days and unless such time limit is otherwise extended, this recommended decision becomes a final decision in accordance with N.J.S.A. 52:14B-10.

Within thirteen days from the date on which this recommended decision was mailed to the parties, any party may file written exceptions with the COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. Exceptions may be filed by email to ControversiesDisputesFilings@doe.nj.gov or by mail to Office of Controversies and Disputes, 100 Riverview Plaza, 4th Floor, PO Box 500, Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0500. A copy of any exceptions must be sent to the judge and to the other parties.

December 8, 2025	Audio/mettore
DATE	AURELIO VINCITORE, ALJ
Date Received at Agency:	12/8/25
Date Mailed to Parties:	12/8/25