



2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

3. This Court has authority to award injunctive relief pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 2202.

4. This Court has authority to award declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

### PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, with offices at [REDACTED], is a not-for-profit 501(c)(3) organization dedicated to the defense of constitutional liberties secured by law. Plaintiff's mission is to educate, promulgate, conciliate, and where necessary, litigate, to ensure that those rights are protected under the law. Plaintiff also regularly monitors governmental activity with respect to governmental accountability. Plaintiff seeks to promote integrity, transparency, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law. In furtherance of its dedication to the rule of law and public interest mission, Plaintiff regularly requests access to the public records of federal, state, and local government agencies, entities, and offices, and disseminates its findings to the public.

6. Defendant is an agency of the United States within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1) and is headquartered at [REDACTED]. Defendant is in control and possession of the records sought by Plaintiff.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff summarized its requests, sent by correspondence dated July 25, 2016, Pl.'s FOIA Request Ex. A, as follows:

the numbered requests contained herein seek any and all records pertaining in any way to the grant funds awarded by the U.S. Department of State (DOS) to OneVoice Israel and OneVoice Palestine, where said organizations campaigned to "take [Netanyahu] down" in the 2015 Israeli elections, seeking the election of

“anybody but Bibi.” This request seeks all records indicating what DOS officials knew about the plans, strategy and activities of OneVoice when the decisions to award the grant funds were made, who was involved in the decisions, and how DOS officials responded once the connection between the grant funds and the activities of OneVoice were publicized.

Pl.’s FOIA Request Ex. A, 1 (quoting *Joint Staff Report – Review of U.S. State Dep’t Grants to OneVoice, Hearing before the Permanent Subcomm. on Investigations, Comm. on Homeland Sec. and Governmental Affairs*, 114th Cong. (2016) [hereinafter *Joint Staff Report*], available at [http://www.hsgac.senate.gov/subcommittees/investigations/hearings/joint-staff-report\\_-\\_review-of-us-state-department-grants-to-onevoice](http://www.hsgac.senate.gov/subcommittees/investigations/hearings/joint-staff-report_-_review-of-us-state-department-grants-to-onevoice)).

8. “Pursuant to State Department FOIA regulation 22 C.F.R. § 171.4(b),” Plaintiff set forth a “Background address[ing] ‘the subject, timeframe, names of any individuals involved, a contract number (if applicable), and reasons why the requester believes the Department may have records on the subject of the request.’” Pl.’s FOIA Request Ex. A, 1-2 (quoting 22 C.F.R. § 171.4(b)). Said Background is hereby incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

9. In its FOIA request, attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein,<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff sought the following records:

1. Any and all records, communications or briefings prepared, reviewed, sent or received by any DOS official, staff, agent or employee, referencing or regarding in any way PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded

---

<sup>1</sup>For purposes of minimizing unnecessary attachments to filings, and consistent with LCvR 5.1(e), Plaintiff has omitted from the attached Exhibit A the Memorandum in Support of Requested Fee Waiver and Expedited Processing.

to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

2. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, conveyed or otherwise transmitted by or between:

- a. Michele Dastin-van Rijn;
- b. Hilary S. Olsin-Windecker;
- c. Andrew (Andy) C. Rabens;
- d. Michael Ratney;
- e. James T. Rider;
- f. Rachel D. Leslie;
- g. Ya Lempert;
- h. Jennifer Gavito;
- i. Richard Buangan;
- j. Laura Blumenfield;
- k. Leslie T. Ordeman;
- l. Frank G. Lowenstein,
- m. Martin S. Indyk;
- n. Ilan S. Goldenberg;
- o. Daniel Lubetzky;
- p. Hady Amr;
- q. Kevin Kreutner;
- r. Christina Taler;
- s. Payton Knopf;
- t. Jennifer Prystupa;
- u. Yoni Amias;
- v. Christina Forsting;
- w. Sharon Alsoodani;
- x. Adva V.;
- y. Wasim Masri;
- z. Darya Shakih/Darya Shaikh;
- aa. Shaina Low;
- bb. Joel Braunoid;
- cc. Jonathan Prince;
- dd. Harriet Green;
- ee. Joel Fields;
- ff. Usama Hasan;
- gg. Graham Edwards;
- hh. Greg Rack;
- ii. Russell Mischon;
- jj. Lawrence Radley;
- kk. Denise Joseph;
- ll. Sayyeda;
- mm. Jamie Kessler;
- nn. Katie Parsons;

- oo. Laura Talinovsky;
- pp. Elanit Green;
- qq. Veronique M. Werber;
- rr. Leonid Ostrovsky;
- ss. Eltan Schiffman;
- tt. Terry Meguid;
- uu. Josh Rutstein;
- vv. Baruch Spiegel;
- ww. Laura E. Butzel;
- xx. Ilia Alamo;
- yy. Megan E. Bell;
- zz. Nurettin Aydogan;
- aaa. Khaled Abohalima;
- bbb. Cornelia Meyer;
- ccc. Josh Nerpel;
- ddd. Samer Makhoul;
- eee. Tal Harris;
- fff. Polly Bronstein;
- ggg. John Lyndon;
- hhh. Mara Lee;
- iii. Marc Ginsberg;
- jjj. any staff, agent, or employee of any such person;
- kkk. any other person representing, employed, or otherwise associated in any way with PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), 270 Strategies, and/or Darkenu; or,
- lll. any other DOS official, staff or employee;

that references or regards in any way PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), 270 Strategies, and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

3. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, conveyed or otherwise transmitted between Michael Ratney (former U.S. Consul General in Jerusalem), his staff, agent, or employee, and Tal Harris, Polly Bronstein, John Lyndon, Mara Lee, or Marc Ginsberg, their staff, agent, or employee, or any other person representing, employed, or otherwise associated in any way with

PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, or that reference or regard in any way PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail between Michael Ratney and Marc Ginsberg sent or received on September 30, 2014, and including but not limited to any electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

4. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, conveyed or otherwise transmitted between Assistant Secretary Anne W. Patterson, her predecessor Assistant Secretary Jeffrey David Feltman, any other Secretary (assistant, deputy, or acting assistant or deputy), and/or any other DOS official, staff, agent, or employee working within, under or located at the DOS Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs (BNEA), and any DOS official, staff, agent or employee working within, under or located at any other DOS component, office, bureau, mission, or delegation, or any other person outside the DOS, that reference or regard in any way PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

5. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, received, forwarded, copied to, or reviewed by any DOS official, staff, agent, or employee, that reference or regard in any way the decision to or not to award grant funds that should be, would be, or in fact were awarded to PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message

accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

6. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, received, forwarded, copied to, or reviewed by any DOS official, staff, agent, or employee, that reference or regard in any way the dollar amount of grant funds that should be, would be, or in fact were awarded to PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

7. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, received, forwarded, copied to, or reviewed by any DOS official, staff, agent, or employee, that reference or regard in any way how DOS would, should or in fact did respond to any press or media inquiry or criticism from any person or organization concerning the grant funds awarded to PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

8. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, received, forwarded, copied to, or reviewed by any DOS official, staff, agent, or employee, that reference or regard in any way Benjamin "Bibi" Netanyahu in connection with the 2015 election or campaign for the 2015 election in Israel, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any

other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

9. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, received, forwarded, copied to, or reviewed by any DOS official, staff, agent, or employee, that reference or regard in any way Michael Ratney's admitted deletion of emails and asserted belief that he was not required to archive emails, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

10. Any and all records, communications or briefings, including electronic mail, deleted by Michael Ratney, his staff, agent or employee, that address, reference or regard in any way Benjamin "Bibi" Netanyahu, the incumbent Israeli government, the Likud Party, the 2015 elections in Israel, any strategy relating thereto, PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, or that were sent by or to, received from, or in any way referenced, named or involved Tal Harris, Polly Bronstein, John Lyndon, Mara Lee, or Marc Ginsberg, their staff, agent, or employee, or any other person representing, employed, or otherwise associated in any way with PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, including but not limited to electronic mail between Michael Ratney and Marc Ginsberg sent or received on September 30, 2014, and including but not limited to any electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.



11. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, received, forwarded, copied to, or reviewed by any DOS official, staff, agent, or employee, that reference or regard in any way the U.S. Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, Review of U.S. State Department Grants to OneVoice, or its Joint Staff Report issued on July 12, 2016,<sup>2</sup> including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

12. Any and all records, communications or briefings sent, received, forwarded, copied to, or reviewed by any DOS official, staff, agent, or employee, that reference or regard in any way any grant funds awarded to or requested by PeaceWorks Network Foundation (PeaceWorks), OneVoice, the OneVoice Movement, OneVoice Israel (OVI), OneVoice Palestine (OVP), Victory 15 (V15), and/or Darkenu, *on or after November 30, 2014*, including but not limited to electronic mail or message located on backup tapes, archives, any other recovery, backup, storage or retrieval system, DOS electronic mail or message accounts, non-DOS electronic mail or message accounts, personal electronic mail or message accounts, DOS servers, non-DOS servers, and personal servers, as well as any electronic mail or message carbon copied to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message carbon copied to non-agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to agency account recipients, any electronic mail or message forwarded to non-agency account recipients, and attachments to any electronic mail or message.

Pl.'s FOIA Request Ex. A, 8-13.

10. Plaintiff specified in its FOIA request that:

For purposes of this Request, the term "record" is "any information" that qualifies under 5 U.S.C. § 552(f), and includes, but is not limited to, the original or any full, complete and unedited copy of any log, chart, list, memorandum, note, correspondence, writing of any kind, policy, procedure, guideline, agenda, handout, report, transcript, set of minutes or notes, video, photo, audio recordings, or other material. The term "record" also includes, but is not limited to, all relevant information created, stored, received or delivered in any electronic or digital format, e.g., electronic mail, instant messaging or Facebook Messenger,

---

<sup>2</sup> *Joint Staff Report*, *supra* note 2.

iMessage, text messages or any other means of communication, and any information generated, sent, received, reviewed, stored or located on a government *or private* account or server, consistent with the holdings of *Competitive Enterprise Institute v. Office of Science and Technology Policy*, No. 15-5128 (D.C. Cir. July 5, 2016) (rejecting agency argument that emails on private email account were not under agency control, and holding, “If a department head can deprive the citizens of their right to know what his department is up to by the simple expedient of maintaining his departmental emails on an account in another domain, that purpose is hardly served.”).

Pl.’s FOIA Request Ex. A, 7.

11. Plaintiff specified in its FOIA request that:

For purposes of this Request, the term “briefing” includes, but is not limited to, any in-person meeting, teleconference, electronic communication, or other means of gathering or communicating by which information was conveyed to one or more person.

Pl.’s FOIA Request Ex. A, 8.

12. Plaintiff specified in its FOIA request that:

For purposes of this Request, the term “DOS official” includes, but is not limited to, any person who is (1) employed by or on behalf of the DOS, any Mission of the United States, or any Delegation of the United States, in any capacity; (2) contracted for services by or on behalf of the DOS, any Mission of the United States, or any Delegation of the United States, in any capacity; (3) appointed by the President of the United States to serve in any capacity at or within the DOS, any Mission of the United States, or any Delegation of the United States; or (4) any such person’s staff, agent or employee; all without regard to the component, bureau, or office in which that person serves.

Pl.’s FOIA Request Ex. A, 8.

13. Plaintiff specified in its FOIA request that:

For purposes of this Request, all sources, documents, letters, reports, briefings, articles and press releases cited in this Request are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Pl.’s FOIA Request Ex. A, 8.

14. Plaintiff specified in its FOIA request that:

For purposes of this Request, the timeframe of records requested herein is January 1, 2013, to the date this Request is processed.

Pl.'s FOIA Request Ex. A, 8.

15. In its FOIA request, Plaintiff stated:

[I]f this Request is denied in whole or in part, ACLJ requests that, within the time requirements imposed by FOIA, you support all denials by reference to specific FOIA exemptions and provide any judicially required explanatory information, including but not limited to, a *Vaughn* Index.

Pl.'s FOIA Request Ex. A, 14.

16. In its FOIA request, Plaintiff stated:

Moreover, as explained in an accompanying memorandum, the ACLJ is entitled to expedited processing of this Request as well as a waiver of all fees associated with it. The ACLJ reserves the right to appeal a decision to withhold any information sought by this request and/or to deny the separate application for expedited processing and waiver of fees.

Pl.'s FOIA Request Ex. A, 14. As indicated in footnote 1, *infra*, the referenced Memorandum is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

17. By letter dated July 28, 2016, attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein, Defendant acknowledged it received Plaintiff's FOIA request on July 26, 2016. Def.'s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B, 1. Defendant advised it had assigned Case Control Number F-2016-09051. Def.'s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B, 1.

18. In its letter dated July 28, 2016, Defendant stated that it "will begin the processing of your request based upon the information provided in your communication." Def.'s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B, 1. It did *not* include a date on which the Defendant would provide an actual response to the request.

19. With respect to Plaintiff's request for expedited processing, in its letter dated July 28, 2016, Defendant stated: "Your request does not meet any of the established criteria." Def.'s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B, 1 (emphasis added).

20. In its letter dated August 18, 2016, Defendant stated:

We have considered your request for a fee waiver. Based upon the information provided in your letter, your request for a fee waiver has been granted; therefore, your request will be processed at no charge to you.

Def.'s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B, 1.

21. In its letter dated July 28, 2016, Defendant stated:

Unusual circumstances (including the number and location of Department components involved in responding to your request, the volume of requested records, etc.) *may* arise that would require additional time to process your request.

Def.'s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B, 1 (emphasis added).

22. In its letter dated August 18, 2016, Defendant stated:

We will notify you as soon as responsive material has been retrieved and reviewed.

Def.'s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B, 1.

## **CAUSES OF ACTION**

### **COUNT I**

#### **Violation of the Freedom of Information Act**

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint as if fully stated herein.

24. The federal FOIA establishes a 20-day deadline by which a federal agency must make and issue a decision regarding compliance with a request for records made pursuant to the statute. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).

25. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), Defendant was required to determine whether to comply to Plaintiff's request within twenty (20) days, excepting Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays. Pursuant to this same provision, Defendant also was required to notify Plaintiff immediately of the determination, the reasons therefore, and the right to appeal any adverse determination to the head of the agency.

26. Plaintiff sent its FOIA request to Defendant's Office of Information Programs and Services, the component of Defendant designated by Defendant to receive FOIA requests directed to Defendant, and Plaintiff's FOIA request was received by that component on July 26, 2016.

27. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), the 20-day period commenced on July 27, 2016. Excluding weekends, Defendant was required to make its determination and provide Plaintiff with the requisite notifications by August 26, 2016.

28. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to notify Plaintiff of any determination about whether Defendant will comply with Plaintiff's FOIA request, the reasons for any such determination, or Plaintiff's right to appeal any adverse determination to the head of the agency.

28. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to produce any records responsive to the request, indicate when any responsive records will be produced, or demonstrate that responsive records are exempt from production.

29. Defendant has not requested information from the Plaintiff that would toll the 20-day period as contemplated by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)(I).

30. FOIA permits a federal agency, in unusual circumstances, to extend the 20-day response deadline for a period not to exceed ten (10) additional working days. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(B).

31. Defendant, in its letter of July 28, 2016, did not assert the existence of “unusual circumstances.” Def.’s Acknowledgement Letter Ex. B. As such, Defendant has not implicated the tolling provision set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(B)(i).

32. There are no “unusual circumstances” that justify Defendant’s prolonged delay in responding to Plaintiff’s lawful FOIA request.

33. Plaintiff has a statutory right to have Defendant process Plaintiff’s FOIA request in a timely manner and in accordance with the requirements set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6).

34. Defendant is unlawfully withholding records requested by Plaintiff pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552.

35. FOIA provides a cause of action for a complainant from whom a federal agency has withheld requested records. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

36. Through its continued delay in responding to Plaintiff’s lawful request for records, and its improper withholding of such requested records, Defendant has failed to comply with FOIA’s prescribed deadlines for responding to a request for records and has violated Plaintiff’s statutory rights.

37. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C), because Defendant failed to comply with the time limit set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted any and all administrative remedies with respect to its FOIA request.

38. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendant’s unlawful withholding of requested records, and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to conform its conduct to the requirements of the law.

**COUNT II**  
**Impermissible Practice, Pattern and Practice, and/or Policy of Violating**  
**Freedom of Information Act**

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 38 of this Complaint as if fully stated herein.

40. Defendant has a reputation for flaunting and disregarding its public accountability and FOIA obligations. For example, *not including the instant Complaint*, Plaintiff has filed *three* FOIA lawsuits against Defendant in the past six months for its failure to respond as required by statute to Plaintiff's lawful FOIA requests. *See* Complaint, 16-cv-1355-RC (D.D.C.); Complaint, 16-cv-1751-CKK (D.D.C.); and Complaint, 16-cv-1975-EGS (D.D.C.).

41. Recent news reports highlighted a Department of Justice report which found that Defendant took 111 days on average to respond to FOIA requests in 2014, far longer than any other agency and the time specified by statute, and that only 10 percent of Defendant's FOIA officers took required training, the worst attendance record of any major agency. Kathryn Watson, *State Dept is the Slowest Fed Agency to Process FOIA Requests*, DAILY CALLER (May 9, 2016 2:53 PM), <http://dailycaller.com/2016/05/09/state-dept-is-the-slowest-fed-agency-for-foia-responses/>; *see also*, Sarah Westwood, *State Department Worst of All Agencies at Answering FOIAs, Study Shows*, WASH. EXAM. (Mar. 10, 2015, 1:10 PM), <http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/state-department-worst-of-all-agencies-at-answering-foias-study-shows/article/2561299> (relaying findings supporting Defendant's grade of "F" on Center for Effective Government's annual FOIA report card).

42. Defendant's reputation concerning its response to FOIA requests is well known. *See* Andrew Desiderio, *Associated Press Scolds State Dept for Slow Document Production*, MEDIAITE (Nov. 16, 2015, 4:31 PM), <http://www.mediaite.com/online/associated-press-scolds-state-dept-for-slow-document-production/> (describing the Associated Press's harsh criticism of Defendant for slow response to Associated Press FOIA requests); AP, *Judge Scolds State*

*Department Official for Slow Response to Request for Records from Clinton Tenure*, FOX NEWS (Jul. 30, 2015), <http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2015/07/30/judge-scolds-state-department-official-for-slow-response-to-records-request.html>.

43. Perfectly accentuating Defendant's utter disregard for its FOIA obligations, the sole letter it sent to Plaintiff in response to Plaintiff's FOIA request resulting in the instant Complaint is essentially and substantively *identical* to the sole response letter it sent to Plaintiff in response to Plaintiff's prior FOIA request that resulted in 16-cv-1355-RC; 16-cv-1751; and 16-cv-1975-EGS (D.D.C.).

46. The letter Plaintiff received from Defendant in connection with one of its FOIA requests, 16-cv-1975-EGS, *granted expedited processing*, yet it made no difference. Defendant did not take Plaintiff's FOIA request seriously until Plaintiff filed its lawsuit.

47. This is Plaintiff's *fourth* FOIA lawsuit against this Defendant in the past approximately six months, and the *fourth* case where Defendant failed to comply with the threshold requirements of the FOIA in its 'response' to Plaintiff's FOIA requests.

48. Defendant is engaging in an established impermissible practice, policy, and pattern of not responding as required by the clear requirements of 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A).

49. Defendant's impermissible practice, policy, and pattern of untimely and noncompliant FOIA responses constitutes an impermissible practice in violation of FOIA that is "sufficiently outrageous" to warrant declaratory and injunctive relief under *Payne Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 837 F.2d 486, 494 (D.C. Cir. 1988); see *Muttitt v. United States Cent. Command*, 813 F. Supp. 2d 221, 229-31 (D.D.C. 2011).



50. Defendant's "policy or practice" will impair Plaintiff's "lawful access to information in the future," as contemplated in *Payne Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 837 F.2d 486, 491 D.C. Cir. 1988) (quoting *Better Gov't Ass'n v. Dep't of State*, 780 F.2d 86, 90-92 (D.C. Cir. 1986)).

51. The FOIA imposes no limits on courts' equitable powers in enforcing its terms. *Payne Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 837 F.2d 486, 494 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (citing *Renegotiation Bd. v. Bannerkraft Clothing Co.*, 415 U.S. 1, 19-20 (1974)), and this Court should exercise its equitable powers to force Defendant to comply with the clear requirements of the FOIA.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

52. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment against Defendant, and provide Plaintiff with the following relief:

- (a) An Order that Defendant conduct a diligent search for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed reasonable search methods most technologically likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request, selected from among those methods available to Defendant;
- (b) An Order that Defendant produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and a *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption;
- (c) An Order enjoining Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request;
- (d) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's actions violated Plaintiff's statutory rights under 5 U.S.C. § 552;
- (e) Declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to this Court's equitable powers, as recognized in *Payne Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 837 F.2d 486, 494 D.C. Cir. 1988) (citing *Renegotiation Bd. v. Bannerkraft Clothing Co.*, 415 U.S. 1, 19-20 (1974)), to force Defendant to cease its impermissible practice of untimely and noncompliant responses to FOIA requests;
- (f) A declaratory judgment and finding that any of Defendant's informal practices and/or regulations, guidelines, or policy statements that authorize the refusal to provide a requester with a timely and compliant response as required by 5 U.S.C.

